UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,811	10/18/2005	Tibor George Csicsatka	PU030124	7798
24498 Thomson Licen	7590 05/01/200 sing LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5312		MCCORD, PAUL C		
Two Independence Way PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/553,811	CSICSATKA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	PAUL MCCORD	2614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: ute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The solution of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected sheet (s) including the corre	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/553,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platt (US Patent 6987221), further in view of Kulas (US Patent 6044047) and further in view of Novelli et al. (US PGPub 2003/0144918.)
- 5. Regarding claim 1, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 21

Platt teaches a system and method for compiling a playlist using a digital audio player in which a user may select a set of stored digital audio files for potential inclusion in a playlist via user input to a user input device (at least in the form of clicking the

Art Unit: 2614

"ADD" button of Figure 4) in concert with a processor controlled system functional to operate a user interface operable to compile the playlist of audio files as well as decode and reproduce the audio files (though the Fig 15 depicted audio card, output port or output device such as a speaker.) The Platt system and method builds a playlist not merely through the inclusion of media items by a user's input of agency through an "ADD" button, but also through inclusion of media items with substantially similar identifying data, in either case incorporating the identifying metadata into the playlist. While Plattt describes a method for automatically generating a playlist in a media player in the above manner, Platt also allows that further operative permutations of the components disclosed are possible. (Platt: Col 18, 124-38)

Platt does not explicitly teach sequentially reproducing each preview in a list of media nor detecting a user input of selection of a specific audio clip for inclusion in the playlist during playback of the clip.

In a related field of endeavor Kulas teaches a method of sequentially reproducing audio previews of a selected set of digital audio files. (Kulas: Column 5, lines 18-50)

Although the Kulas disclosure is directed to the scanning of a database of Compact Disks it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the Kulas method thereby sequentially stepping through a set of digital audio media selected by a user for potential inclusion in a playlist through the system and method of Platt. One would have been motivated to combine Platt and Kulas for the purpose of allowing a user to quickly traverse a large library of media items and select desired files for purchase, reproduction, copy or inclusion in a playlist.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,811

Art Unit: 2614

Platt in view of Kulas does not explicitly disclose the operation and detection of a user input indicating preference on the part of a user while the media file is being reproduced.

Page 4

In a related field of endeavor Novelli teaches a music marking system for electronically notating music selections. (Novelli: Abstract) Novelli discloses that the optimum time for a user to interact with a media file is during reproduction (Novelli: section [0004], [0041], [0065].) Novelli further discloses storage of interaction reference information during playback of a media file. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to operate the Platt disclosed "ADD" button during playback of a media file as taught by Novelli, thereby indicating preference on the part of the user to include a media item in the Platt playlist and causing the sequential reproduction of a next item in the Kulas disclosed sequential stepping method. One would have been motivated to do this in order to operate on a sequence of reproduced media previews at a time of peak interactive interest on the part of a user.

- 6. Claims 2-5, 10-13, 17-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platt in view of Kulas in view of Novelli as applied to claims 1, 6, 8, 14, 15, 21 above and further in view of Heo (US Patent 7046588.)
- 7. Regarding claim 2-5, 10-13, 17-20

Platt teaches that an audio data file can contain an ID3 tag for associating various metadata with an audio file. (Platt: Col 4, 1. 24-37) ID3 metadata is well known to include

Application/Control Number: 10/553,811

Art Unit: 2614

user definable metadata for sorting and organizing media files such as genre, artist, title, etc.

Page 5

Heo teaches a method and apparatus for reproducing portions of an audio selection or selection comprising wherein each audio clip is taken from a predetermined portion of its associated audio data file that is selectable by the user, or wherein each audio clip is taken from a portion of its associated audio data file, (Col 4, 1. 38-46), . (Col 4, 1. 38-46) Users can designate a desired portion or duration of a set of audio files to function as a clip or highlight thereby predetermining a portion of data that will be reproduced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow user designation of a highlight or clip as disclosed by Heo in the Platt in view of Kulas in view of Novelli method of assembling a playlist. It would have been further obvious to store said designating information in an ID3 tag or associate said designating information with mood, genre, tempo or any other associated metadata. One would have been motivated to do so for the purpose of aiding in the rapid identification of a track or sequence of tracks in a large media library.

- 8. Claims 7, 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platt in view of Kulas in view of Novelli as applied to claims 1, 6, 8, 14, 15, 21 above and further in view of Eyal et al. (US PGPub 2002/0116476 hereinafter Eyal.)
- 9. Regarding claim 7, 16

Platt in view of Kulas in view of Novelli does not teach:

Application/Control Number: 10/553,811

Art Unit: 2614

The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of allowing user selection of one of a plurality of playlists to which to include the identifying data.

Page 6

In a related field of endeavor Eyal teaches:

A method for playing back media files (see Abstract) wherein the user input directed controller allows inclusion of identifying data to a user selectable playlist of digitally encoded audio data files of a plurality of playlists of digitally encoded audio data files. On the controller depicted, a playlist feature is included as a selectable icon 1960 (not shown in figure). Upon selection a pop up window is displayed which allows the user to name a new playlist or select an extant playlist to which to add the media resource being played. (s. [0267]; Fig 21) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include allowing user selection of one of a plurality of playlists to which to include the identifying data as taught by Eyal within the method of Platt in view of Kulas in view of Novelli. One would have been motivated to do so for the purpose of more rapidly and efficiently searching through local and networked media libraries for music which the end user prefers and wishes to experience again and controlling access to said media in a sophisticated manner.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (Please see form PTO-892.)

Application/Control Number: 10/553,811 Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL MCCORD whose telephone number is (571)270-3701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (571)272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 2614
/CURTIS KUNTZ/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614